

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/535,836  | 03/28/2000     | Hisashi Ohtani       | SEL 173                 | 5046            |
| 75  | 590 01/07/2002 |                      |                         |                 |
| Mark J Murphy Cook Alex McFarron Manzo Cummings & Mehler Ltd 200 West Adams St Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606 |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|   |                |                      | VU, HUNG K              |                 |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |                |                      | 2811                    |                 |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/07/2002 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | _  | n <sup>v</sup>   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 09/535,836   | OHTANI ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| omec Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication and   | Hung K. Vu   | 2811   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with ti   | he correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS. | to e timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133) |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 (  | <u> October 2001</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th   | is action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-18 and 25-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,19-24 and 28-45</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | · ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep   |  | xaminer.   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | · ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | 9(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  | have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | have been received in Applic   | eation No  |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language prov<br>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | visional application has been r  | eceived.   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | - F andor 00 0.0.0. 98 1   | 29 GHG/01 121.   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Inform  | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>al Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/535,836

Art Unit: 2811

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

1. Claims 41 and 42 objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 41 and 42, line 2, "conductive" should be changed to "wiring" for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 43, line 2, "organic material" lacks of antecedent basis.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/535,836

Art Unit: 2811

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 40, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhang et al. (PN 6,255,705).

Zhang et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising,

A thin film transistor (300) formed over a substrate (301), the thin film transistor having a semiconductor layer and a gate layer electrode adjacent to the semiconductor layer with a gate insulating film interposed there between;

A first insulating film (314) formed over the thin film transistor;

A first wiring layer formed on the first insulating film;

A second wiring layer (311) formed on the first wiring layer;

A second insulating film (316) formed on the second conductive layer;

A pixel electrode (313) formed on the second insulating film, the pixel electrode being connected to the second wiring layer through a contact hole provided in the second insulating film;

Wherein the second wiring layer is connected to the semiconductor layer through a contact hole provided in the first insulating film. Note Figures 1A-6C (especially Figures 1E and 3B) of Zhang et al..

Application/Control Number: 09/535,836

Art Unit: 2811

Page 4

With regard to claim 44, Zhang et al. discloses the semiconductor device is selected from the group consisting of an active matrix liquid-crystal display device, an active matrix EL display device, and an active matrix EC display device.

With regard to claim 45, Zhang et al. discloses the semiconductor device is selected from the group consisting of a video camera, a digital camera, a projector, a goggle-type display device, a car navigation device, a personal computer, and a portable information terminal.

4. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Yoon (PN 5,977,580, of record).

Yoon discloses a semiconductor device comprising,

A thin film transistor formed over a substrate (100), the thin film transistor having a semiconductor layer (106) and a gate layer electrode (108) adjacent to the semiconductor layer with a gate insulating film interposed there between;

A first insulating film (lower 110) formed over the thin film transistor;

A first wiring layer (middle 106a) formed on the first insulating film;

A second wiring layer (upper 106a) formed on the first wiring layer;

A second insulating film (middle 110) formed on the second conductive layer;

A pixel electrode (118) formed on the second insulating film, the pixel electrode being connected to the second wiring layer through a contact hole provided in the second insulating film;

Wherein the second wiring layer is connected to the semiconductor layer through a contact hole provided in the first insulating film. Note Figures 3-4E of Yoon.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 19-24, 28-39, and 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon (PN 5,977,580, of record) in view of Yamazaki (PN 5,990,542, of record).

Yoon discloses a semiconductor device comprising,

A thin film transistor formed over a substrate (100), the thin film transistor having a semiconductor layer (106) and a gate layer electrode (108) adjacent to the semiconductor layer with a gate insulating film interposed therebetween;

A first insulating film (lower 110) formed over the thin film transistor;

A first conductive layer (middle 106a) formed on the first insulating film;

A second conductive layer (upper 106a) formed on the first conductive layer;

A second insulating film (middle 110) formed on the second conductive layer;

A pixel electrode (118) formed on the second insulating film, the pixel electrode being connected to the second conductive layer through a contact hole provided in the second insulating film;

Wherein the second conductive layer is connected to the semiconductor layer through a contact hole provided in the first conductive layer and the first insulating film. Note Figures 3-4E of Yoon.

Yoon discloses all of the claimed limitations except the inter-layer insulating film comprising an organic material. However, Yamazaki discloses a semiconductor device comprising a first insulating film (116) comprising an organic material (polyimide resin or acrylic resin). Note Figures 1A-4 of Yamazaki. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the inter-layer insulating film of Yoon's comprising an organic material, such as taught by Yamazaki in order to reduce the capacitance coupling between the conductive layers.

With regard to claims 2-3, 8-9, 20-21, 29-30, 35-36, and 41-42, Yoon and Yamazaki disclose all of the claimed limitations except the material of the first conductive layer and the second conductive layer. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the first conductive layer and the second conductive layer of Yoon and Yamazaki's having the material as claimed because aluminum and titanium provide better conductivity.

With regard to claims 5, 11, 23, 32, 38, and 44, Yoon and Yamazaki disclose wherein the semiconductor device is selected from the group consisting of an active matrix liquid-crystal display device, an active matrix EL display device, and an active matrix EC display device.

With regard to claims 6, 12, 24, 33, 39, and 45, Yoon and Yamazaki disclose all of the claimed limitations except the semiconductor device is selected from the group consisting of a video camera, a digital camera, a projector, a goggle-type display device, a car navigation device, a personal computer, and a portable information terminal. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the device of Yoon and Yamazaki into the group as claimed in order to provide a typical flat panel display.

6. Claims 1-12, 19-24, 28-33, and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (PN 6,255,705) in view of Yamazaki (PN 5,990,542, of record). Zhang et al. discloses all of the claimed limitations except the first insulating film comprising an organic material. However, Yamazaki discloses a semiconductor device comprising a first insulating film (116) comprising an organic material (polyimide resin or acrylic resin). Note Figures 1A-4 of Yamazaki. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the first insulating film of Zhang et al.'s comprising an organic material, such as taught by Yamazaki in order to reduce the capacitance coupling between the conductive layers.

With regard to claims 2, 8, 20, 29, and 41, Yamazaki discloses all of the claimed limitations except the material of the first conductive layer is selected from the group consisting of aluminum and a material predominantly composed of aluminum. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the first

conductive layer of Yamazaki's having the material selected from the group consisting of aluminum and a material predominantly composed of aluminum because aluminum provides better conductivity.

With regard to claims 6, 12, 24, and 33, Zhang et al. and Yamazaki disclose the semiconductor device is selected from the group consisting of a video camera, a digital camera, a projector, a goggle-type display device, a car navigation device, a personal computer, and a portable information terminal.

With regard to claims 3, 9, 21, 30, and 42, Zhang et al. and Yamazaki disclose the second metallic layer is selected from the group consisting of titanium and a material predominantly composed of titanium.

With regard to claims 4, 10, 22, and 31, Zhang et al. and Yamazaki disclose wherein the organic material is an organic-based resin material predominantly selected from the group consisting of polyimide, polyimide-amide, polyamide, acrylics, and BCB (benzocyclobutane).

With regard to claims 5, 11, 23, and 32, Zhang et al. and Yamazaki disclose wherein the semiconductor device is selected from the group consisting of an active matrix liquid-crystal display device, an active matrix EL display device, and an active matrix EC display device.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments filed 10/16/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued, at pages 7 and 8 of the Remarks, that the combination of Yoon and Yamazaki does not disclose a second insulating film formed over a second conductive (or metallic) layer, and a pixel electrode connected to the second conductive layer through a contact hole provided in the second insulating film. This argument is not convincing because Yoon discloses, as shown in Figures 4A and 4E, a second insulating film (upper 110) formed over a second conductive (or metallic) layer (upper 106a), and a pixel electrode (118) connected to the second conductive layer through a contact hole provided in the second insulating film. Therefore, Applicants' Claims 1, 7, 19, 28, and 34 do not distinguish over the Yoon in view of Yamazaki references.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung K. Vu whose telephone number is (703) 308-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:00-5:30, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Vu

December 28, 2001

/pm /Nowes

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800